## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TEXARKANA DIVISION

RUBEN GARCIA	§	
v.	<b>§</b>	CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:15cv159
CATHY McPEAK, ET AL.	§	

## MEMORANDUM ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE AND ENTERING FINAL JUDGMENT

The Plaintiff Ruben Garcia, proceeding *pro se*, filed this civil rights lawsuit under 42 U.S.C. §1983 complaining of alleged violations of his constitutional rights. This Court referred the case to the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1) and (3) and the Amended Order for the Adoption of Local Rules for the Assignment of Duties to United States Magistrate Judges.

After review of the pleadings, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report recommending Garcia's application for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* be denied and the lawsuit be dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1915(g). Garcia received a copy of the Magistrate Judge's Report but filed no objections thereto; accordingly, he is barred from *de novo* review by the district judge of those findings, conclusions, and recommendations and, except upon grounds of plain error, from appellate review of the unobjected-to factual findings and legal conclusions accepted and adopted by the district court. <u>Douglass v. United Services Automobile Association</u>, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir. 1996) (*en banc*).

The Court has reviewed the pleadings in this cause and the Report of the Magistrate Judge. Upon such review, the Court has determined the Report of the Magistrate Judge is correct. *See* United States v. Wilson, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir.), *cert. denied*, 492 U.S. 918, 109 S.Ct. 3243

(1989) (where no objections to a Magistrate Judge's Report are filed, the standard of review is

"clearly erroneous, abuse of discretion and contrary to law."). It is accordingly

**ORDERED** the Report of the Magistrate Judge (docket no. 3) is **ADOPTED** as the opinion

of the District Court. It is further

**ORDERED** the Plaintiff's application for leave to proceed in forma pauperis is **DENIED** 

and the above-styled civil action is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE** as to the refiling of another

in forma pauperis lawsuit raising the same claims as herein presented, but without prejudice to the

refiling of this lawsuit without seeking in forma pauperis status and upon payment of the statutory

filing fee. It is further

**ORDERED** that should the Plaintiff pay the full filing fee of \$400.00 within 15 days after

the date of entry of final judgment in this case, he shall be allowed to proceed in the lawsuit as

through the full fee had been paid from the outset. It is further

**ORDERED** the Clerk shall send a copy of this Memorandum Opinion to the Administrator

of the Three Strikes List for the Eastern District of Texas. Finally, it is

**ORDERED** that any and all motions which may be pending in this action are hereby

DENIED.

SIGNED this 8th day of April, 2016.

<u>Pohert W Filmvecler</u> ROBERT W. SCHROEDER III

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2